Automatic Generation Control in Three Area Interconnected Power System of Thermal Generating Unit using Evolutionary Controller

Ashish Dhamanda[1], A.K.Bhardwaj[2]

[12]Department of Electrical Engineering, SSET, SHIATS, Allahabad, U.P, India

Abstract— This paper deals to obtain the dynamic response of load frequency and corresponding tie-line power of an automatic generation control (AGC) in three area interconnected thermal power system by using three different controller; One is Conventional (PI) Controller, Second is Intelligent (Fuzzy) Controller and Third is Evolutionary (GA for tuning of PID Controller) Controller. In this paper Evolutionary controller are proposed for improving the performance of load frequency and tie-line power and their dynamic responses are compared with the conventional and intelligent controller's responses. The results indicate that the proposed controller exhibit better performance and satisfy the automatic generation control requirements with a reasonable dynamic response. The performances of the controllers are simulated using MATLAB/SIMULINK software.

Keywords— Proportional Plus Integral Plus Derivative (PID), Fuzzy Controller, Intelligent Controller, Genetic Algorithm (GA).

——————————————— ◆ ———————————————

1 INTRODUCTION

AUTOMATIC Generation Control (AGC) is a very important issue in power system operation and control for supplying sufficient and reliable electric power with good quality. AGC with load following is treated as an ancillary service that is essential for maintaining the electrical system reliability at an adequate level [12] recent years, major changes have been introduced into the structure of electric power utilities all around the world. The successful operation in power system requires the matching of total generation with total load demand and associated system losses. As the demand deviates from its normal value with an unpredictable small amount, the operating point of power system changes, and hence, system may experience deviations in nominal system frequency which may yield undesirable effects. So the objective of AGC in interconnected thermal generating unit is to maintain the system frequency and tie line power at nominal value (60 Hz) [4], [5]. A control strategy is needed to maintain constancy of frequency and tie-line power and also achieves zero steady state error. The PI and fuzzy controller employed to solve AGC problem and these controller gives the good response, reduces the oscillation & steady state error but the GA after tuning of PID controller gives the better result over the conventional and intelligent controller. A literature survey shows that the load frequency control (LFC) of power systems has been investigated by many researchers over the

Ashish Dhamanda, is with Electrical Engineering Department, Shepherd School of Engineering and Technology, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Deemed University Allahabad, U.P India.
(e-mail: dhamanda_ashish@yahoo.co.in)

A.K.Bhardwaj, is with Electrical Engineering Department, SSET, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Deemed University Allahabad, 211007, India. (e.mail: dr.akbhardwaj65@rediff.com)

past decades [13]. Most of the earlier works in the area of AGC pertain to thermal systems with non-reheat and reheat type turbines for single and two area with different controller but relatively lesser attention has been devoted to the comparison of PI, fuzzy and GA controllers. Three area thermal power system incorporating reheat type turbine and linearized models of governors, non-reheat turbines and reheat turbines are taken for simulation of the system.

2 AGC IN THERMAL GENERATION SYSTEM

The role of AGC in interconnected power system is to maintain the system frequency and tie-line power at nominal value after some kind of perturbation arises in the system.

To maintain the electrical power system in normal operating state, the generated power should match with power demand plus associated losses. However, in practical power system, the load is continuously changing with respect to time. Therefore, the power balance equilibrium cannot be satisfied in abnormal state. In primary control action also called without controller, when the power system is said to be at stable state, primary control action takes place within an area to suppress frequency oscillations. On the other hand, when the load fluctuations are more, primary control action are not adequate to control.

To overcome the problem of primary control action, the secondary control action also called with controller, need to apply, these controllers are set for a particular operating condition and they take care of small changes condition and they take care of small changes in load demand without exceeding the prescribed limits of frequency. These control action comprises of different controller like conventional, intelligent and evolutionary controller [2], [3], [8], [9], [11], [16]. Three area AGC model of thermal generating system is shown below in fig. 1.

Fig.1 Three Area AGC Model of Thermal Generating System

Let us consider the problem of controlling the power output of the generators of a closely knit electric area so as to maintain the scheduled frequency. All the generators in such an area constitute a coherent group so that all the generators speed up and slow down together maintaining their relative power angles. Such an area is defined as a control area. To understand the AGC problem of frequency control, let us consider a single turbo-generator system supplying an isolated load [2].

To simplicity the frequency-domain analyses, transfer functions are used to model each component of the area [4].

Transfer function of governor is $\quad \dfrac{Ksg}{Tsg\,s+1}$ (1)

Transfer function of turbine is $\quad \dfrac{Kt}{Tt\,s+1}$ (2)

Transfer function of Reheat turbine is $\quad \dfrac{Kr.Tr\,s+1}{Tr\,s+1}$ (3)

Transfer function of generator is $\quad \dfrac{Kps}{Tps\,s+1}$ (4)

Dynamic response of automatic frequency control loop is

$$\Delta F(s) = -\frac{\frac{K_{ps}}{1+T_{ps}s}}{1+\frac{K_{PS}}{R\,(1+T_{ps}s)}}\frac{\Delta P_D}{s} \qquad (5)$$

This equation can be written as, [3]

$$\Delta F(s) = -\Delta P_D\,\frac{RK_{PS}}{R+K_{ps}}\left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s+\frac{R+K_{ps}}{R\,T_{ps}}}\right) \qquad (6)$$

3   CONTROL METHODOLOGY

Controller determines the value of controlled variable, compare the actual value to the desired value (reference input), determines the deviation and produces a control signal that will reduce the deviation to zero or to a smallest possible value. In automatic generation control of thermal generating unit need to control or maintain the frequency constancy, reduced oscillation and zero steady state error, so following types of controller are used, [10]

3.1 Conventional (PI) Controller

PI controller is also known as proportional plus integral controller. This controller are using from many year back for controlling such action with maintaining their performance. This is a combination of proportional and integral control action shown in fig.1


Fig. 2 Proportional Plus Integral Control Scheme Model

Control Area Input = K_p Error Signal + $K_p\,K_i\,\int$Error Signal (7)

3.2 Intelligent (Fuzzy Logic) Controller

Fuzzy logic establishes the rules of a nonlinear mapping. There has been extensive use of fuzzy logic in control applications. One of its main advantages is that controller parameters can be changed very quickly depending on the system dynamics because no parameter estimation is required in designing controller for nonlinear systems. Fuzzy logic controller is shown below [6] in fig.3,

Fig. 3 Fuzzy Logic Control Scheme Model

The inputs of the proposed fuzzy controller are e, and rate of change in ce. The appropriate membership function and fuzzy rule base is shown in below in fig.4 and table 1, where 7 membership function, NB, NM, NS, Z, PS, PM, and PB represent negative big, negative medium, negative small, zero, positive small, positive medium, and positive big, respectively make 49 (7×7) rule [7].


Fig. 4 Fuzzy Inference System Editor

TABLE 1
Fuzzy Inference Rule

		Error e						
		NB	NM	NS	ZO	PS	PM	PB
	NB	PB	PB	PB	PB	PM	PM	PS
	NM	PB	PM	PM	PM	PS	PS	PS
Change In error ce	NS	PM	PM	PS	PS	PS	PS	ZO
	ZO	NS	NS	NS	ZO	PS	PS	PS
	PS	ZO	NS	NS	NS	NS	NM	NM
	PM	NS	NS	NM	NM	NM	NB	NB
	PB	NS	NM	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB

3.3 Evolutionary (GA Controller) Controller

The genetic algorithm is a robust optimization controller based on natural selection.
A possible solution to a specific problem is seen as an individual.
A collection of a number of individuals is called a population.

The current population reproduces new individuals that are called the new generation. The new individuals of the new generation are supposed to have better performance than the individuals of the previous generation. GA have been successfully implemented in the area of industrial electronics, system identification, control robotics, pattern recognition, planning and scheduling [14],[15], shown in fig.5.


Fig. 5 Flow chart for tuning of PID using genetic algorithm (GA)

4 SIMULATION RESULTS

All the results are carried out by using MATLAB/Simulink to investigate the performance of three areas thermal system. The power system parameters are given in appendix. The step load disturbance of 0.01 p.u. was applied in three areas for all the cases and deviations in frequency and corresponding tie-line power were investigated. The AGC performance through PI and Fuzzy logic controller is compared with GA (Using tuning of PID controller) controller. The change in frequency and corresponding tie-line deviation under the load disturbances of 0.01 p.u. in three areas are shown in fig 6 to fig 23. Comparative value of settling time shown in table 2, it is observed that the evolutionary (GA for tuning of PID Controller) controller improve the dynamic performance of the system as compared to the conventional (PI) and intelligent (Fuzzy Logic) controllers.


Fig. 6 Frequency Response of Area1 with PI Controller

Fig. 7 Frequency Response of Area2 with PI Controller


Fig. 11 Frequency Response of Area3 with Fuzzy Controller


Fig. 8 Frequency Response of Area3 with PI Controller


Fig. 12 Frequency Response of Area1 with GA Controller

Fig. 9 Frequency Response of Area1 with Fuzzy Controller

Fig. 13 Frequency Response of Area2 with GA Controller

Fig. 10 Frequency Response of Area2 with Fuzzy Controller


Fig. 14 Frequency Response of Area3 with GA Controller

Fig. 15 Tie-Line Power Response of Area1 with PI Controller


Fig. 19 Tie-Line Power Response of Area2 with Fuzzy Controller

Fig. 16 Tie-Line Power Response of Area2 with PI Controller

Fig. 20 Tie-Line Power Response of Area3 with Fuzzy Controller


Fig. 17 Tie-Line Power Response of Area3 with PI Controller


Fig. 21 Tie-Line Power Response of Area1 with GA Controller


Fig. 18 Tie-Line Power Response of Area1 with Fuzzy Controller

Fig. 22 Tie-Line Power Response of Area2 with GA Controller

Fig. 23 Tie-Line Power Response of Area3 with GA Controller

Table 2

Comparative value of settling time

Controllers	Settling Time (Sec)					
	Frequency Deviation			Tie Line Power Deviation		
	Area1	Area2	Area3	Area1	Area2	Area3
PI	28	30	30	66	75	52
Fuzzy	21	27	26	35	56	35
GA (Using Tuning of PID Controller)	17	17	18	24	15	28

5 CONCLUSIONS

This paper investigates the performance of automatic generation control of three area thermal power system. To demonstrate the effectiveness of proposed controller, evolutionary (Genetic Algorithm for tuning of PID controller) controller, the control strategy based on intelligent (Fuzzy Logic) and conventional (PI) controller is applied. The performance of these controllers is evaluated through the simulation. The results are tabulated in Table II respectively.

The results of proposed controller have been compared with conventional and intelligent controller and it shows that the proposed controller give good dynamic performances and results. So it can be concluded that the evolutionary controller give better settling performance than the intelligent and conventional controllers.

6 APPENDIX

Power System Parameters are as follows:

f=60Hz; $R_1=R_2=R_3=2.4$Hz/p.uMW; $T_{sg1}=T_{sg2}=T_{sg3}=0.08$Sec; $T_{ps1}=T_{ps2}=T_{ps3}=20$Sec; $Tt_1=Tt_2=Tt_3=0.3$ Sec; $Tr_1=Tr_2=Tr_3=10$Sec; $Kr_1=0.5$TU; $Kr_2=3.33$TU; $Kr_3=3$TU; $H_1=H_2=H_3=5$MW-S/MVA; $P_{ri}=2000$MW, $K_{ps123}=120$ Hz.p.u/MW; $K_{sg123}=K_{t123}=1$; $D_i=8.33*10^{-3}$p.uMW/Hz.; $b_i=0.425$p.u.MW/Hz; $\Delta P_{Di}=0.01$ p.u; $T_{12}=T_{23}=T_{31}=0.0867$MW/Radian; $P_{tie\,max}=200$MW; $a_{12}=a_{23}=a_{34}=a_{41}=1$; $P_{r1}=P_{r2}=P_{r3}=2000$MW;

Nomenclature

AGC   Automatic Generation Control
P_{ri} Rated power capacity of area i

f   Nominal system frequency
Δf Change in supply frequency
D_i System damping area i
T_{sg} Speed governor time constant
T_t Steam turbine time constant
T_{ps} Power system time constant
K_{sg} Speed governor gain constant
K_t Steam turbine gain constant
K_{ps} Power system gain constant
b_i Frequency bias parameter
ΔP_{Di} Incremental load change in area i
i   Subscript referring to area 1 2 3 etc.
H   Inertia constant
R   Speed regulation of governor
a   Ratio of rated power of a pair of areas four area system
T   Synchronous coefficient of tie-line system
$P_{tie\,max}$ Tie-line power

7 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

8 REFERENCES

[1] A. Magla, J. Nanda, "*Automatic Generation Control of an Interconnected Hydro- Thermal System Using Conventional Integral and Fuzzy logic Control*", in Proc. IEEE Electric Utility Deregulation, Restructuring and Power Technologies, Apr 2004.

[2] D. P. Kothari, Nagrath "*Modern Power System Analysis*"; Tata McGraw Hill, Third Edition, 2003.

[3] Elgerd O. I, "*Elctric Energy System Theory; An Introduction*" McGraw Hill, 1971.

[4] Surya Prakash, S K Sinha, "*Four Area Load Frequency Control of Interconnected Hydro-Thermal Power System by Intelligent PID Control Controller*"; 978-1-4673-0455-9/12, IEEE 2012.

[5] K.P.Singh Parmar, S.Majhi, D.P.Kothari, "*Optimal Load Frequency Control of an Interconnected Power System*"; MIT International Journal of Electrical and Instrumentation Engineering Vol. 1, No. 1, Jan.2011, pp. 1-5, ISSS No. 2230-7656, MIT Publications.

[6] Surya Prakash, S K Sinha, "*Intelligent PI Control Controller in Four Area Load Frequency Control of Interconnected Hydro-thermal Power System*"; 978-1-4673-0210-4/12, IEEE 2012.

[7] Rishabh Verma, Shalini Pal, Sathans, "*Intelligent Automatic Generation Control of Two-Area Hydrothermal Power System using ANN and Fuzzy Logic*";978-0-7695-4958-3/13, IEEE 2013.

[8] Kiran Kumar Challa, P.S.Nagendra Rao, "*Analysis and Design of Controller for Two Area Thermal-Hydro-Gas AGC System*"; 978-1-4244-7781-4/10, IEEE 2010.

[9] S. Sivanagaraju, G. Sreenivasan, "*Power System Operation and Control*". PEARSON (2011).

[10] S.Hasan Saeed, "*Automatic Control System*", 2006.

[11] Hadi Sadat, "*Power System Analysis*". Tata MCGraw Hill 1999.

[12] Ranjit Roy, S. P. Ghoshal, Praghnesh Bhatt, "*Evolutionary Computation based Four-Area Automatic Generaton Control in Restructured Environment*"; 978-1-4244-4331-4/09, IEEE 2009.

[13] Xiangjie Liu, Xiaolei Zhan, Dianwei Quian, "*Load Frequency Control considering Generation Rate Constraints*"; 978-1-4244-6712-9/10, IEEE 2010.

[14] Sapna Bhati, Dhiiraj Nitnawwre, "Genetic Optimization Tuning of an Automatic Voltage Regulator System"; IJSET, Volume No.1, Issue No. 3, pg: 120-124, ISSN: 2277-1581, 01July 2012.

[15] K. F.Man, K. S.Tang and S. Kwong, "Genetic algorithm: Concepts and applications"; IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 519-534, may 1996.

[16] Naresh Kumari, A. N. Jha, "Automatic Generation Control Using LQR based PI Conreoller for Multi Area interconnected Power System"; Advance in Electronic and Electric Engineering, ISSN 2231-1297, Volume 4, pp. 149-154, November 2, 2014.